



Shri Sandeep Singh,
S/o Shri Kashmir Singh,
R/o Village Muazam,
Tehsil & Distt. Fazilka.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer,
Fazilka.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 595 of 2021

Present:- Shri Sandeep Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer,
Fazilka.

ORDER

Shri Sandeep Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 31.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Fazilka. When no information was provided to the complainant/applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 18.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The complainant/applicant is present today, whereas none has appeared on behalf of the respondent SPIO.

The complainant/applicant stated that no information/reply has been sent by the SPIO till date.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 31.03.2021 and also discussed about the sought for information with the complainant/applicant. The complainant/applicant sought information regarding Panchayati land of village Maujam. The said information does not relate with the office of BDPO, Fazilka, rather it relates with the office of the concerned Tehsildar.

So, the applicant is advised that in case he wants to get the information then he should file fresh RTI application with the concerned public authority. With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 14.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Harinder Pal Singh,
R/o H.No. 278, Near Purana Talab,
Hira Mahal Colony,
Nabha.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o School Education Deptt. (Br.2), PB.,
Govt. of Punjab, Mini Sectt.,
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 606 of 2021

Present:- Shri Harinder Pal Singh, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o School Education Deptt. (Br.2), PB.,
Govt. of Punjab, Mini Sectt., Sector-9, Chandigarh.

ORDER

Shri Harinder Pal Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 30.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o School Education Deptt. (Br.2), PB., Govt. of Punjab, Mini Sectt., Sector-9, Chandigarh. When no information was provided to the complainant/applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 20.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are absent today.

The SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission on 16.08.2021, diarized vide no. 18065, which is a copy of letter no. 227845/2021/01 dated 13.08.2021. I have gone through the said letter vide which the SPIO has sent point-wise information to the applicant.

The applicant, Shri Harinder Pal Singh has also sent an e-mail to the Commission on 21.08.2021 in which he has submitted that he has received the sought for information from the SPIO on 18.08.2021 and he is satisfied.

As the SPIO has sent the sought for information to the applicant and the as per the e-mail sent by the applicant that he has received the information and he is satisfied, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 14.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Sandeep Pathak, Advocate,
Chamber No. 806, Lawyers Chambers,
3rd Floor, Distt. Courts,
Bathinda.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Asstt. Commissioner Excise,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 616 of 2021

Present:- Shri Sandeep Pathak, (Advocate), complainant/applicant is absent.
Shri Lakhmir Chand, APIO-cum-Excise Inspector is present on behalf of SPIO,
O/o Asstt. Commissioner Excise, Mohali.

ORDER

Shri Sandeep Pathak, (Advocate), complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 11.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Asstt. Commissioner Excise, Mohali. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 21.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The complainant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO.

The respondent stated that the reply/information has already been sent to the complainant-applicant vide letter no. 719 dated 23.03.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. 719 dated 23.03.2021. I have gone through the said letter.

The complainant/applicant has filed RTI application on 11.03.2021 and the SPIO has replied vide letter no. 719 dated 23.03.2021, which is within the stipulated period. So, no complaint lies against the SPIO. Hence, the present complaint is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 14.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Sandeep Pathak, Advocate,
Chamber No. 806, Lawyers Chambers,
3rd Floor, Distt. Courts,
Bathinda.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Asstt. Commissioner Excise,
Amritsar.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 618 of 2021

Present:- Shri Sandeep Pathak, (Advocate), complainant/applicant is absent.
Shri Navtej Singh, Excise & Taxation Inspector is present on behalf of SPIO, O/o
Asstt. Commissioner Excise, Amritsar.

O R D E R

Shri Sandeep Pathak, (Advocate), complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 11.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Asstt. Commissioner Excise, Amritsar. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 21.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO.

The respondent stated that the reply/information has already been sent to the complainant-applicant vide letter no. 06 dated 12.05.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. 06 dated 12.05.2021. I have gone through the said letter. The SPIO has provided information/reply to each and every point of the RTI application.

The complainant has filed RTI application on 11.03.2021 and the SPIO has replied vide letter no. 06 dated 12.05.2021, so no complaint lies against the SPIO. Hence, the present complaint is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 14.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Sandeep Pathak, Advocate,
Chamber No. 806, Lawyers Chambers,
3rd Floor, Distt. Courts,
Bathinda.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Asstt. Commissioner Excise,
Patiala.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 619 of 2021

Present:- Shri Sandeep Pathak, (Advocate), complainant/applicant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Asstt. Commissioner Excise, Patiala.

O R D E R

Shri Sandeep Pathak, (Advocate), complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 11.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Asstt. Commissioner Excise, Patiala. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 21.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are absent today.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the Assistant Commissioner (X), Patiala Range, Patiala on 22.07.2021, diarized vide no.16144, which is a copy of letter no.501 dated 13.07.2021 along with letter no.287 dated 24.05.2021 and attested copies of information.

I have gone through letter no.501 dated 13.07.2021, which is addressed to Shri Sandeep Pathak, applicant. It is submitted by the respondent that the information/reply already been sent to the office of DC(X), Patiala Zone, Patiala vide letter no.287 dated 24.05.2021 and the same information was complied and sent by DC(X), Patiala Zone, Patiala for the Patiala Zone vide letter no.350 dated 27.05.2021 to Public Information Officer for Excise Commissioner, Punjab, Patiala. Therefore, there is no ground for non-furnishing of the required information by this office.

As per the reply sent by the respondent that the information/reply has already been sent to the applicant, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 14.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Sham Kumar Sharma,
S/o Shri Babu Ram Sharma,
1090, Phase-1, Model Town,
Bathinda.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Head Master,
Govt. High School,
Bandi, Distt. Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Distt. Education Officer,
Bathinda.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1716 of 2021

Present:- Shri Sham Kumar Sharma, appellant is absent.
Sh. Suresh Kumar, S.S. Master is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Head
Master, Govt. High School, Bandi, Distt. Bathinda.

O R D E R

Shri Sham Kumar Sharma, appellant filed RTI application dated 16.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Head Master, Govt. High School, Bandi, Distt. Bathinda.

Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS SOFTWARE.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that in compliance to the Order dated 20.07.2021, point-wise information/reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter no. 1938 dated 30.07.2021.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 09.08.2021, diarized vide no.17502, which is a copy of letter no. 1938 dated 30.07.2021. I have gone through the said letter vide which the SPIO has sent point-wise information/reply to the appellant.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 16.12.2020 and also gone through letter no. 1938 dated 30.07.2021 vide which the information/reply has been sent to the appellant by the SPIO.

The SPIO has sent the point-wise information/reply to the appellant vide letter no. 1938 dated 30.07.2021 and a period of about one and half months has been passed, but the appellant has not sent any deficiencies regarding the information to the Commission and neither he has attended the hearing for today, it means he is satisfied with the information sent to him by the SPIO. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 14.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Ranjit Singh, S/o Shri Sudhra Singh,
Village Maheshpura,
Tehsil Khamano,
Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Panchayat Secretary,
Gram Panchayat, Maheshpura,
Block Khamano, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Distt., Development and Panchayat Officer,
Fatehgarh Sahib.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1717 of 2021

Present:- Shri Ranjit Singh, appellant is present.
Sh. Sanjiv Kumar PIO, O/o Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Maheshpura,
Block Khamano, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib is present.

O R D E R

Shri Ranjit Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 07.01.2021 before the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Khamano. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to Shri Hari Chand Sharma, PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Maheshpura, Block Khamano, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib vide letter dated 11.01.2021.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that the attested copies of information along with compensation of Rs.1,000/- has been provided to the appellant by hand today itself.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by Shri Sanjiv Kumar PIO, O/o Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Maheshpura, which is a copy of letter dated 14.09.2021.

I have gone through the said letter vide which the respondent PIO has provided the attested copies of information along with compensation of Rs.1,000/- to the appellant by hand and the appellant, Shri Ranjit Singh has also executed a duly signed noting on the said letter after receiving the information.

Shri Ranjit Singh, appellant stated that he has received the information and the amount of compensation of Rs.1,000/- and he is satisfied with the information.

As the PIO has provided the attested copies of sought for information and the amount of compensation of Rs.1,000/- to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 14.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Ranjit Singh, S/o Shri Sudhra Singh,
Village Maheshpura,
Tehsil Khamano,
Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Panchayat Secretary,
Gram Panchayat, Maheshpura,
Block Khamano, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Distt., Development and Panchayat Officer,
Fatehgarh Sahib.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1718 of 2021

Present:- Shri Ranjit Singh, appellant is present.
Sh. Sanjiv Kumar PIO, O/o Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Maheshpura,
Block Khamano, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib is present.

O R D E R

Shri Ranjit Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 27.01.2021 before the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Khamano. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to Shri Hari Chand Sharma, PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Maheshpura, Block Khamano, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib vide letter dated 28.01.2021.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that the attested copies of information along with compensation of Rs.1,000/- has been provided to the appellant by hand today itself.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by Shri Sanjiv Kumar PIO, O/o Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Maheshpura, which is a copy of letter dated 14.09.2021.

I have gone through the said letter vide which the respondent PIO has provided the attested copies of information along with compensation of Rs.1,000/- to the appellant by hand and the appellant, Shri Ranjit Singh has also executed a duly signed noting on the said letter after receiving the information.

Shri Ranjit Singh, appellant stated that he has received the information and the amount of compensation of Rs.1,000/- and he is satisfied with the information.

As the PIO has provided the attested copies of sought for information and the amount of compensation of Rs.1,000/- to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 14.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Ranjit Singh, S/o Shri Sudhra Singh,
Village Maheshpura,
Tehsil Khamano,
Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Panchayat Secretary,
Gram Panchayat, Maheshpura,
Block Khamano, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Distt., Development and Panchayat Officer,
Fatehgarh Sahib.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1719 of 2021

Present:- Shri Ranjit Singh, appellant is present.
Sh. Sanjiv Kumar PIO, O/o Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Maheshpura,
Block Khamano, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib is present.

O R D E R

Shri Ranjit Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 11.01.2021 before the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Khamano. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to Shri Hari Chand Sharma, PIO-cum- Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Maheshpura, Block Khamano, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib vide letter dated 12.01.2021.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that the attested copies of information along with compensation of Rs.1,000/- has been provided to the appellant by hand today itself.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by Shri Sanjiv Kumar PIO, O/o Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Maheshpura, which is a copy of letter dated 14.09.2021.

I have gone through the said letter vide which the respondent PIO has provided the attested copies of information along with compensation of Rs.1,000/- to the appellant by hand and the appellant, Shri Ranjit Singh has also executed a duly signed noting on the said letter after receiving the information.

Shri Ranjit Singh, appellant stated that he has received the information and the amount of compensation of Rs.1,000/- and he is satisfied with the information.

As the PIO has provided the attested copies of sought for information and the amount of compensation of Rs.1,000/- to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 14.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Ranjit Singh, S/o Shri Sudhra Singh,
Village Maheshpura,
Tehsil Khamano,
Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Panchayat Secretary,
Gram Panchayat, Maheshpura,
Block Khamano, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Distt., Development and Panchayat Officer,
Fatehgarh Sahib.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1720 of 2021

Present:- Shri Ranjit Singh, appellant is present.
Sh. Sanjiv Kumar PIO, O/o Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Maheshpura,
Block Khamano, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib is present.

O R D E R

Shri Ranjit Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 07.01.2021 before the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Khamano. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to Shri Hari Chand Sharma, PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Maheshpura, Block Khamano, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib vide letter dated 11.01.2021.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that the attested copies of information along with compensation of Rs.1,000/- has been provided to the appellant by hand today itself.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by Shri Sanjiv Kumar PIO, O/o Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Maheshpura, which is a copy of letter dated 14.09.2021.

I have gone through the said letter vide which the respondent PIO has provided the attested copies of information along with compensation of Rs.1,000/- to the appellant by hand and the appellant, Shri Ranjit Singh has also executed a duly signed noting on the said letter after receiving the information.

Shri Ranjit Singh, appellant stated that he has received the information and the amount of compensation of Rs.1,000/- and he is satisfied with the information.

As the PIO has provided the attested copies of sought for information and the amount of compensation of Rs.1,000/- to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 14.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dr. Sat Paul Sharma,
R/o Flat No. 203/1, Block-2,
Housefed Complex, Banur,
Distt. SAS Nagar.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Municipal Corporation,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Municipal Corporation,
Patiala.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3207 of 2020

Present:- Dr. Sat Paul Sharma, appellant is absent.
Dr. Jasvir Kaur, Health Officer, O/o Municipal Corporation, Patiala is present.

O R D E R

Dr. Sat Paul Sharma, appellant filed RTI application dated 16.06.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Municipal Corporation, Patiala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and she stated that in compliance to the Order dated 01.09.2021 a self attested affidavit of Shri Sham Lal Gupta, SPIO-cum-Superintending Engineer, Municipal Corporation, Patiala has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 13.09.2021 and to the Commission also.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of self attested affidavit of Shri Sham Lal Gupta, SPIO-cum-Superintending Engineer, Municipal Corporation, Patiala. I have gone through the contents of the affidavit and it is submitted by the respondent that the sought for information has already been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no. 275 SE(C) dated 05.05.2021. It is further submitted by the respondent in the affidavit that no other information related with appeal case no. 3207 of 2020 is pending in the office of the public authority.

I have gone through the Order dated 01.09.2021 and Dr. Jasvir Kaur, Health Officer was present on that date and she stated that the information has already been sent to the appellant on 05.05.2021 and except that no other information is available in the office of the public authority. So, the SPIO was directed to file a duly attested affidavit in two sets by submitting that the information which was available in the office of the SPIO has already been provided to the appellant and except that no other information is available in the office of the public authority.

The SPIO has complied with the order of the Commission and sent a self attested affidavit to the appellant through registered post on 13.09.2021 and resolved the matter. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 14.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Ravinder Singh Chauahan (EX. NK),
VPO Gho,
Tehsil & Distt. Pathanakot.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o DDPO, Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o DDPO, Pathankot.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2239 of 2021

Present:- Shri Ravinder Singh Chauahan, appellant is present.
Shri Satish Kumar, SPIO-cum-DDPO, Pathankot is present.

O R D E R

Shri Ravinder Singh Chauahan, appellant filed RTI application dated 23.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o DDPO, Pathankot.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent SPIO stated that in compliance to the Order dated 02.09.2021 the appellant has visited the office and the attested copies of sought for information has been provided to him and he is satisfied with the information.

The appellant, Shri Ravinder Singh Chauahan stated that he has received the complete information and he is satisfied.

The appellant has also sent an e-mail to the Commission on 13.09.2021 and submitted that he has received complete information from DDPO, Pathankot.

As the SPIO has provided the complete sought for information to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 14.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Qaseem Haider,
S/o Shri Viqar Haider,
H.No. 28-A, Bollywood Floors,
Sector 113, Greater Mohali.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3136 of 2020

Present:- Shri Qaseem Haider, appellant is absent.
H.C. Rajwinder Kaur is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SSP, Mohali.

O R D E R

Shri Qaseem Haider, appellant filed RTI application dated 04.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present.

The respondent stated that the appellant was contacted through phone on 23.07.2021 to visit the office of the SPIO and he visited the office on the same day i.e. 23.07.2021 at 3.56 p.m., but refused to take the sought for information by saying that he had filed a Court case so he will not take any information and neither he will sign on any statement. The respondent further stated that then the sought for information consisting of 13 pages has been sent to the appellant through registered post at his given address.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 10.08.2021, diarized vide no.17641, which is a copy of letter no.43082/G dated 07.08.2021. I have gone through the said letter and the above mentioned facts have been submitted by the SPIO.

As the SPIO has sent the sought for information consisting of 13 pages to the appellant through registered post at his given address, so the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Thus, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 14.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**